

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/792,342 03/03/2004		/03/2004	Abaneshwar Prasad	100082DIV3	4543
29050	7590	11/16/2005		EXAMINER	
	WESEMAN	I L COUNSEL, I.P.	VO, HAI		
		RONICS COPOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
870 NORTH COMMONS DRIVE				1771	
AURORA,	IL 60504			DATE MAIL ED. 11/16/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

٠. ٧

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/792,342	PRASAD, ABANESHWAR		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hai Vo	1771		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	5	And Hould	
Before the filling of all Appeal Bite.	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hai Vo	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11/03/2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of		a final raisetion, which are	orio lator In no
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened star above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must band MENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	-	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-13 and 15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		rill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after t	entry is below or attac	cried.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The art rejections over Xu et al (US 6,406,363) in view of several references are maintained for the following reasons. Applicants argue that the Office Action fails to provide evidence as to why the oprdinarily skilled artisan would select the themoplastic foam disclosed by Perman over hundreds of the other prior art cellular polymeric materials. The examiner disagrees. It is known and obvious in the art that the microcellular foam is a very unique material having a cell density of about 10.E9 voids/cm3 or greater and Perman provides necessary details to practice the invention of Xu. Additionally, Xu teaches a polishing pads without microtextured surface. The art rejections over Winings in view of Perman are withdrawn in view of the present arguments (see second paragraph at page 3 of the 11/03/2005 amendment).

Hai Vo

HAIVO PRIMARY EXAMINER